

CONSTITUTIONAL & ENERGY ISSUES WITHIN THE USA

Our founding fathers, in the 1750's, struggled to find a way of governing a nation that would be fair to the vast majority of people on the land that would soon be removed from the control of a monarchical system, where the king, and his favored minions, could grant rights to property, control money and commerce and deny them to anyone they choose not to favor. The solution they came up with was a Republic based significantly on the model of the classical Roman Republic where the population was assumed, and required, to be generally moral, intelligent, and hard working. Each family entity was expected to produce more value to the community than they consumed. Therefore the Gross National Product (GNP) of the nation was left to the diligence and hard work of the population and not to the policies of some king or Federal Bureaucracy.

The founders left much of the power of a "Republic type of Government" to the local counties or districts. The concept of an effective re-emergence of a Constitutional Organization based on County level participation by local registered voters who already have the right to elect a Sheriff's Department for law enforcement, a School Board to establish educational standards and Land Use Boards to establish Commercial, Agricultural and Residential areas consistent with the needs and wishes of the local residents. The Constitution spends much ink on what the Federal Government cannot do such as impose religion, impede free speech, disarm citizens and interfere with legal commerce.

What is needed is a watchdog organization that has a charter to monitor and take to court any of the State and Federal agencies who overstep their authority, impacting citizens at the local level who are trying to engage in the protected actions and profitable commerce, including producing, innovating and developing new ways of generating more value to the world than they consume. In other words provide value to the community, while generating a profit in a moral, legal way while doing no harm to their neighbors.

Recent examples of unconstitutional behavior abound. First, let us ask, did the Federal Government have the right to impose closing schools, restaurants, entertainment venues, churches and even private home gatherings with the supposed reason of a virus pandemic? We can reasonably question the validity of the overbearing health mandates when we find that less than 1% of the US population died from all causes, including the various strains of virus in 2020 through 2022 as was the case for the previous 20 years or more. Countries such as Sweden and some US states that refused to impose the federally mandated mask and shutdown orders, suffered no more deaths or serious illnesses than those who complied.

Next, let us ask can the Federal Government shut down pipelines and deny permits for new refinery plants based on the excuse of a prediction of eminent "climate crisis"? Many of the claims for man made climate disaster is based on questionable science and data, and at least an equal number of climate scientists vote in opposition. The degradation and public shaming of those simply looking into the opposing viewpoints should give us pause. Projections of climatic disaster, made 40 years ago, have not materialized much to the dismay of those who want to control the world supply of energy. An uncomfortable fact is that solar, wind and other "green energy plans" cannot meet the energy demand for electricity, transportation and indoor thermal control.

A summary of the many opposing views can be found in a book by Greg Wrightstone titled "Inconvenient Facts" with more than 60 references to Al Gore's book disputing his unscientific conclusion that has influenced many Federal and State programs based on the unfounded conclusion the only man made emissions from gas, oil and coals are going to cause a wide climate catastrophe. The alternate scientific viewpoint has come to the conclusion that coal, gas and oil will be needed to supply the main demands for energy throughout the world for the next 200 years or more and will not cause damage to the planet.